

DESIGNER'S RISK INFORMATION SHEET

The reg 9(4) information that goes with a design issue — residual risks, assumptions, acknowledgement

CDM 2015 — DESIGN

KD-CDM-DI-014 Rev A0

This is a CDM 2015 health and safety document. Designing so that the building work meets the building regulations is a separate duty, in England under Part 2A of the Building Regulations 2010 (regs 11J and 11K for designers): a designer's own Part 2A duties are checked on KD-CDM-DD-015 section 5, its competence declaration is KD-BR-CS-002, and the Part 2A appointments are KD-BR-AP-001. CDM 2015 applies in Great Britain; Northern Ireland has its own construction design and management regulations.

CDM 2015 reg 9(4): a designer must take all reasonable steps to provide, with the design, sufficient information about the design, construction or maintenance of the structure to adequately assist the client, other designers and contractors to comply with their duties. Where a risk cannot be eliminated, the designer provides information about it to the principal designer (reg 9(3)(b)), who gives the principal contractor what it holds for the construction phase plan, including that information (reg 12(3)(b)). This sheet goes out with a design issue and carries what the drawings do not show: the significant residual risks that are not obvious, and the assumptions made about how the structure will be built, maintained, cleaned, used and taken down. The designer's register behind it is KD-CDM-DR-013; the client's pre-construction information is KD-CDM-PI-003.

1 THE DESIGN ISSUE

PROJECT	DESIGNER	DESIGNER'S CONTACT FOR QUERIES
DRAWINGS / SPECIFICATION ISSUED — REFS AND REVISIONS		ISSUE DATE
ISSUED TO		PURPOSE OF ISSUE
		DESIGN RISK REGISTER LINES

2 SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL RISKS THAT ARE NOT OBVIOUS — REG 9(3)(B) AND (4)

Only the risks a competent contractor or designer would not expect from the drawings alone, or that need a particular sequence, method or precaution. Write each as a warning, with its location.

REF	ELEMENT / LOCATION	RESIDUAL RISK	WHY IT REMAINS	WHAT THEY NEED TO KNOW OR DO

3 ASSUMPTIONS MADE IN THE DESIGN

What the designer assumed about how the structure will be built, maintained, cleaned, used and taken down. A contractor planning a different way needs to know the design did not allow for it. Write "none beyond normal practice" only where that is true.

CONSTRUCTION — REG 9(2)(A)

MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING — REG 9(2)(B)

USE AS A WORKPLACE — REG 9(2)(C)

DEMOLITION OR DISMANTLING

DESIGNER'S RISK INFORMATION SHEET

continued

CDM 2015 — DESIGN

KD-CDM-DI-014 Rev A0

4 INFORMATION FOR THE HEALTH AND SAFETY FILE — REG 9(3)(C)

INFORMATION THE NEXT PROJECT WILL NEED

SENT TO THE PRINCIPAL DESIGNER

FILE REFERENCE

No principal designer — only one contractor on the project (CDM 2015 reg 5(1)): the residual risk information goes with the design under reg 9(4)

5 ISSUED BY — FOR THE DESIGNER

I have taken reasonable steps to provide with this design issue the information set out above (CDM 2015 reg 9(4)), and the residual risks listed have been given to the principal designer, where there is one (reg 9(3)(b)). This sheet supplements the drawings and specification listed in section 1; it does not replace them.

PRINTED NAME

ROLE / POSITION

COMPANY

SIGNATURE

DATE

6 RECEIVED — ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY EACH RECEIVING DUTYHOLDER

Each recipient signs for its copy. Receiving the information transfers none of the designer's duties; it lets the recipient act on it — the principal designer in the pre-construction information and the file, the principal contractor in the construction phase plan, a contractor in its RAMS.

NAME	COMPANY	ROLE	RECEIVED	QUERIES RAISED (OR "NONE")	SIGNATURE

R REVISION HISTORY

Every revision of this document, and every review that found nothing to change. The revision on the front of this sheet is the one you are holding — check kubitdocs.com for the current one before use.

Rev	Date	Class	What changed	Authorised by
D0	2026-09-12	first-issue	First issue.	— not yet approved —
A0	2026-09-12	review	Approved for issue by the author. Content unchanged from the reviewed draft; the draft status strip is removed. No independent third-party review has been carried out.	Author — director, UK principal contractor, SMSTS. Not an independent review.

Class: first-issue · material (the law, a control, a field or the scope changed — past buyers are notified) · editorial (wording or layout only) · review (checked, nothing changed) · withdrawal. Revision prefix D = draft, not approved and not for sale; A = approved for issue by the person named, on the date shown.